PREVAILED	Roll Call No
FAILED	Ayes
WITHDRAWN	Noes
RULED OUT OF ORDER	

HOUSE MOTION

MR. SPEAKER:

I move that House Bill 1277 be amended to read as follows:

1	Page 6, between lines 27 and 28, begin a new paragraph and insert:
2	"SECTION 8. IC 13-18-22-5, AS ADDED BY P.L.282-2003,
3	SECTION 38, IS AMENDED TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE
4	UPON PASSAGE]: Sec. 5. (a) The rules adopted under section 3 of this
5	chapter:
6	(1) must require that the applicant demonstrate, as a prerequisite
7	to the issuance of the permit, that wetland activity:
8	(A) is reasonably necessary or appropriate to achieve a
9	legitimate use proposed by the applicant on the property on
10	which the wetland is located; and
1	(B) for a Class III wetland, is without practical alternative and
12	will be accompanied by taking steps that are practicable and
13	appropriate to minimize potential adverse impacts of the
4	discharge on the aquatic ecosystem of the wetland;
15	(2) except as provided in subsection (c), must establish that
16	compensatory mitigation will be provided as set forth in section 6
17	of this chapter to reasonably offset the loss of wetlands allowed
18	by the permits; and
19	(3) may prescribe additional conditions that are reasonable and
20	necessary to carry out the purposes of this chapter.
21	(b) The rules adopted under section 4 of this chapter must require,
22	as a prerequisite to the applicability of the general permit by rule to a
23	specific wetland activity, that the person proposing the discharge
24	submit to the department a notice of intent to be covered by the general

MO127708/DI 52+ 2004

permit by rule that:
(1) identifies the wetlands to be affected by the wetland activity:
and
(2) except as provided in subsection (c), provides a compensatory
mitigation plan as set forth in section 6 of this chapter to
reasonably offset the loss of wetlands allowed by the general
permit.
(c) Under subsections (a) and (b), the rules adopted under sections
3 and 4 of this chapter may provide for exceptions to compensatory
mitigation in specific, limited circumstances.
(d) For purposes of subsection (a)(1)(A):
(1) a resolution of the executive of the county or municipality in
which the wetland is located; or
(2) a permit or other approval from a local government entity
having authority over the proposed use of the property on which
the wetland is located;
that includes a specific finding that the wetland activity is reasonably
necessary or appropriate to achieve the intended use of the property is
considered conclusive evidence of that fact.".
Renumber all SECTIONS consecutively.
(Reference is to HB 1277 as printed January 30, 2004.)
Representative Pierce

MO127708/DI 52+